

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/638,179	08/07/2003	Ulrich Birnbaum	DT-6591	3742
30377	7590 02/10/2005		EXAMINER	
DAVID TO		MACARTHUR, VICTOR L		
SIDLEY, AU 787 SEVENT	ISTIN, BROWN & WOO TH AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	C, NY 10019-6018		3679	
			DATE MAILED: 02/10/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	-,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/638,179	BIRNBAUM ET AL.			
○ Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Victor MacArthur	3679			
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above i - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or extent	IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 Index of this communication. Is less than thirty (30) days, a reply Index of the maximum statutory period well Index of the statutory period well Inde	IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL.		action is non-final.				
3) Since this application i	· -					
closed in accordance v	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)	(s) is/are withdrawallowed. rejected. objected to.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is obj	ected to by the Examine	r. 🖫	,			
10) The drawing(s) filed on	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not reques	t that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
•	is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACION OF IOINI PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies 2. Certified copies 3. Copies of the ce application from	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-02) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr		4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr Information Disclosure Statement(Paper No(s)/Mail Date 			Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/638,179

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I – embodiment of the invention as shown in Fig. 1

Species II – embodiment of the invention as shown in Fig. 2

Species III – embodiment of the invention as shown in Figs. 3, 3a, 4, 5

Species IV – embodiment of the invention as shown in Fig. 6

Species V – embodiment of the invention as shown in Figs. 7, 8

Note that Species I, II and IV are currently not claimed in that they do not comprise a fastening element as recited in claim 1 (the only independent claim). The fact that elements are interchangeable between species does not affect the validity of the restriction since species may be related inventions (e.g. two different species usable together in a common generic invention, or Intermediate-Final Product species that are distinct). See MPEP §806.04(b). Furthermore, note that while claims do not define species [MPEP §806.04(e)] the applicant should ensure that the drawings show all elements of any elected claims without adding any new matter in order to avoid an objection to the drawings.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 10/638,179

Art Unit: 3679

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

An attempt to contact David Toren by telephone was made on 2/1/2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement. However, the attempt did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Art Unit: 3679

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor MacArthur whose telephone number is (703) 305-5701. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

VLM

February 4, 2005

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

aniel P Stodola

Page 4